Corporate Services Scrutiny Panel

Record of Meeting

Date: 30th November 2011

Meeting No: 1

Present	Senator S.C. Ferguson, Chairman Deputy J.G. Reed, Vice-Chairman Connétable D.J. Murphy [for a time] Deputy R.J. Rondel
Apologies	Deputy S. Power
Absent	
In attendance	Mr W. Millow, Scrutiny Officer

Ref Back	Agenda matter	Action
	1. Welcome	
	The Chairman welcomed all Members to the Panel's first meeting.	
	2. Vice-Chairman	
	On the Chairman's proposal, the Panel appointed Deputy J.G. Reed as its Vice-Chairman in accordance with Standing Order 135(5).	
	3. Future Meetings	
	The Panel considered a meeting schedule for 2012, noting the Chairman's proposal that the Panel should meet fortnightly at 9:30am on Wednesday mornings. The Panel adopted the schedule as proposed, subject to amending the start-time on 11th January 2012 to 2:00pm and subject to confirmation that 12th December 2012 would not be a States meeting date.	WM
	Consideration was given to how each Panel Member would receive meeting agendas and it was confirmed that each Member would receive a hard copy.	
	Consideration was given to whether the Panel would hold its meetings in private or in public. It was noted that the Chairmen's Committee would consider the matter in order to determine a standardised approach amongst the Scrutiny Panels. The Panel was advised that, were it to hold meetings in private, the records of those meetings would nevertheless be made public unless the Panel had discussed confidential material (in which case a private record would be taken, with an accompanying public record to indicate that the Panel had met).	
	The Panel agreed it would next meet at 9:30am on 14th December 2011.	
	4. Communication with the Executive	
	The Panel considered communication with the Executive and agreed that, in addition to quarterly public hearings, it should hold quarterly meetings with Senator I.J. Gorst, Chief Minister, and Senator P.F.C. Ozouf, Minister for Treasury and Resources. It was agreed that it would	

	1
be beneficial if these meetings could be held within the Ministers' respective Departments. The Panel agreed that the first meetings should take place in January 2012 in order to discuss the Ministers' respective work programmes. The Scrutiny Officer was requested to make the necessary arrangements and to ask for copies of the work programmes (to include timescales and an indication of which departmental section would lead on the work in any given area) to be provided ahead of that first meeting. The Panel further agreed to request organisation charts of the two Departments within its remit.	WM
It was subsequently agreed that site visits to the Chief Minister's Department and Department of Treasury and Resources should also be arranged.	WM
The Panel noted that, in accordance with Paragraph 9.6 of the Code of Practice for Scrutiny Panels and the Public Accounts Committee, requests for information from the Executive were made by the Scrutiny Office to the relevant Department.	
5. Panel Communication	
It was agreed that all Panel Members should check their e-mails regularly for Panel correspondence. Consideration was subsequently given to communication with the public. It was suggested that the Panel should aim to show the value of Scrutiny; that it should communicate the relevance of the topics it reviewed; that it should consider the target audience for each of the reviews undertaken; and that there could be greater communication with the media itself. It was also suggested that the Panel's agreed work programme should in due course be communicated publicly via a media release. It was noted that the subject of public engagement would be considered by the Chairmen's Committee.	
6. Public Hearings	
Consideration was given to whether the taking of images would be allowed during the Panel's public hearings. The Panel was advised that the previous Corporate Services Scrutiny Panel had not allowed images to be taken. It was noted that this subject would be considered by the Chairmen's Committee and Privileges and Procedures Committee.	
7. Conflicts of Interest	
The Panel noted that no Panel Members had an immediate conflict of interest to declare in relation to the Corporate Services Scrutiny Panel's remit. It was agreed that at the start of each review, consideration would be given to whether any Member had a conflict in the relevant area.	
8. Scrutiny Training	
The Panel noted that Scrutiny Training would be provided on 1st and 2nd December 2011. The Panel was advised that this training, which would focus on the review process, was part of an overall programme that would subsequently include training on chairing and questioning skills as well as a visit by the Panel to the House of Commons.	
9. Legacy Report	
The Panel noted the legacy report of the previous Corporate Services Scrutiny Panel. Consideration was given to the work that had been	

undertaken between 2008 and 2011 by that Panel and to that Panel's	
suggestions of subsequent work that could be undertaken. 10. Procurement	
10. I TOCATOTICIE	
The Panel noted that consideration would be given to whether a review	
relating to procurement policy should be undertaken, at which time	
consideration would also need to be given to whether the review fell	
within the Panel's remit or that of the Public Accounts Committee. It	
was further noted that the Chairman had previously gathered	
documents relating to procurement and the Scrutiny Officer was	WM
requested to circulate the documents amongst the whole Panel.	
11. Jersey Development Company	
The Panel noted that its predecessor had undertaken a number of	
reviews relating to the establishment of the States of Jersey	
Development Company. Consideration was given to whether any	
further work would be required. It was noted that a presentation from	
the Jersey Development Company would be held that afternoon and	
that this would potentially inform a decision about subsequent work. 12. Medium Term Financial Plan	
12. Medium Term Financiai Pian	
The Panel noted that a Medium Term Financial Plan (MTFP) would be	
developed by the Executive during the first part of 2012 alongside the	
new Strategic Plan and that it represented a subject which the Panel	
would most likely want to review. The Panel was advised that the	
previous Council of Ministers had considered the development of the	
MTFP and it was agreed that a copy of the relevant paper should be	WM
circulated to the Panel. It was further agreed that the Panel should	
request from the Executive an outline of the MTFP and information on	
the timescale for its implementation.	WM
13. Strategic Plan	
Consideration was given to what work if any the Banal could feasibly	
Consideration was given to what work, if any, the Panel could feasibly undertake on the new Strategic Plan. It was noted that States Members	
would be involved in the development of the Plan, for instance a	
workshop for all Members would be held on 5th December 2011.	
Terresis an institution of the booth of the	
The Panel was advised that the Chairman had previously researched	
strategic planning in New Zealand and it was agreed that the relevant	
documentation located by the Chairman should be circulated amongst	WM
the whole Panel.	
The Panel considered whether a department-by-department strategic	
analysis had been undertaken to inform development of the Strategic	
Plan. The Scrutiny Officer was requested to determine whether such	
analyses had been undertaken and, if so, to request those relating to	\A/8#
the Chief Minister's Department and Department of Treasury and	WM
Resources.	

Signed	Date:
Chairman	

Chairman
Corporate Services Scrutiny Panel